

Weighted differentiation composition operator from logarithmic Bloch spaces to Bloch-type spaces

Yu-Xia Liang¹ and Ze-Hua Zhou^{2,3*}

¹ School of Mathematical Sciences, Tianjin Normal University, Tianjin 300387, P. R. China. E-mail: liangyx1986@126.com

² Department of Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, P.R. China.

³ Center for Applied Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, P.R. China.

Received XXXX, revised XXXX, accepted XXXX

Published online XXXX

Key words Weighted differentiation composition operator, log-Bloch space, essential norm.

MSC (2010) Primary: 47B38; Secondary: 26A24, 30H30, 47B33, 45P05, 47G10

In this paper, we give a new characterization for the boundedness of the weighted differentiation composition operator from logarithmic Bloch spaces to Bloch-type spaces and calculate its essential norm in terms of the n -th power of the induced analytic self-map on the unit disk. From which a sufficient and necessary condition of compactness of the operator follows immediately.

Copyright line will be provided by the publisher

1 Introduction

Denote $H(\mathbb{D})$ the space of all holomorphic functions on the unit disk \mathbb{D} and $S(\mathbb{D})$ the set of all self-maps on \mathbb{D} . Throughout this paper, \log denotes the natural logarithm function. Given a bounded, continuous and strictly positive function μ on \mathbb{D} , we define the μ -Bloch space $\mathcal{B}_\mu = \mathcal{B}_\mu(\mathbb{D})$, consisting of all $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\|f\|_\mu = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |f'(z)| < \infty.$$

The space \mathcal{B}_μ is a Banach space under the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} = |f(0)| + \|f\|_\mu.$$

For $\alpha > 0$ and $\mu : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ is defined by $\mu(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha$, then in this case, \mathcal{B}_μ is denoted by \mathcal{B}_α , the so-called α -Bloch space on \mathbb{D} . when $\alpha = 1$, \mathcal{B}_α is the classical Bloch space \mathcal{B} . Moreover, let $\mu = v_{\log} : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be given by

$$v_{\log}(z) = (1 - |z|) \log \left(\frac{3}{1 - |z|} \right),$$

then we obtain the log-Bloch space and denote $\mathcal{B}_{v_{\log}}(\mathbb{D})$ by \mathcal{B}_{\log} . It is well-known that the log-Bloch space \mathcal{B}_{\log} is a Banach space endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log}} = |f(0)| + \|f\|_{\log},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{\log} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|) \log \left(\frac{3}{1 - |z|} \right) |f'(z)|.$$

We refer the readers to the book [22] by K. H. Zhu, which is excellent source for the development of the theory of function spaces.

* Corresponding author E-mail: zehuazhoumath@aliyun.com;zhzhou@tju.edu.cn
This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos.11372210; 11301373; 11201331).

For $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$, the composition operator C_φ is defined by

$$C_\varphi(f) = f \circ \varphi, \quad f \in H(\mathbb{D}).$$

It is an interesting topic to provide function-theoretic characterizations of when φ induces a bounded or compact composition operator on various holomorphic function spaces. For this theory, we refer the readers to the books [4] by Cowen and MacCluer, and [14] by Shapiro.

As we all know the differentiation operator is defined as $Df = f'$ for $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$. For $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$, the weighted composition operator uC_φ is given by

$$(uC_\varphi f)(z) = u(z)f(\varphi(z)), \quad f \in H(\mathbb{D}).$$

Now let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the *weighted differentiation composition operator*, denoted by $D_{\varphi,u}^m$, is defined as follows

$$(D_{\varphi,u}^m f)(z) = u(z) \cdot f^{(m)}(\varphi(z)), \quad f \in H(\mathbb{D}).$$

When $m = 0$, then the operator $D_{\varphi,u}^m$ becomes the weighted composition operator uC_φ . That's the reason why we call $D_{\varphi,u}^m$ the *weighted differentiation composition operator*. If $m = 0$ and $u(z) = 1$, then $D_{\varphi,u}^m = C_\varphi$. If $m = 1$ and $u(z) = 1$, then $D_{\varphi,u}^m = C_\varphi D$. If $m = 1$ and $u(z) = \varphi'(z)$, then $D_{\varphi,u}^m = DC_\varphi$. For the operator $D_{\varphi,u}^m$, we refer the readers to the papers [15, 16].

The *essential norm* of a continuous linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is the distance from T to the set of all compact operators, that is,

$$\|T\|_e = \inf\{\|T - K\| : K \text{ is compact}\}.$$

Since $\|T\|_e = 0$ if and only if T is compact, so the estimates on $\|T\|_e$ lead to conditions for T to be compact.

Recently there has been a great interest in the new characterizations for the essential norms of composition operator and differentiation operator between Bloch-type spaces on the unit disk. In papers [10] and [11], we respectively studied the new characterizations for the operators $C_\varphi D^m : \mathcal{B}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\beta$ and $DC_\varphi : \mathcal{B}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\beta$. Concerning the composition operator from the log-Bloch space to μ -Bloch space, we refer the readers to the papers [2, 5]. Moreover, the papers [6–9, 13, 19–21] are also about the new subject, which are helpful for our study. Based on the above foundations, the goal of this paper is to give the new characterizations for the *weighted differentiation operator* $D_{\varphi,u}^m : \mathcal{B}_{\log} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$ on the unit disk. In section 2, we list some lemmas. The characterizations for the boundedness and essential norm of $D_{\varphi,u}^m : \mathcal{B}_{\log} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$ are given in section 3 and section 4, respectively.

Throughout the remainder of this paper, the notations $A \asymp B$, $A \preceq B$, $A \succeq B$ mean that there maybe different positive constants C such that $B/C \leq A \leq CB$, $A \leq CB$, $CB \leq A$.

2 Some lemmas

Let $L = 1 - \frac{1}{\log 3} \in (0, 1)$ in this paper. In this section, we list some auxiliary facts. We define a sequence $(r_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ by $r_0 = 0$ and $r_j = 1 - \frac{m-1+L}{j+m-1+L}$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$. The sequence $(r_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ lies in $[0, 1)$ is strictly increasing and satisfies $r_j \rightarrow 1^-$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. For $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the set

$$A_\varphi^j = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : r_j \leq |\varphi(z)| < r_{j+1}\}.$$

It is obvious that $A_\varphi^j \cap A_\varphi^k = \emptyset$ for $j \neq k$.

Lemma 2.1 Define $A : [1, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$ by

$$A(x) = \left(\frac{x+1}{x+m+L} \right)^x.$$

Then we have that

$$\inf_{x \geq 1} A(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} A(x) = e^{-(m-1+L)}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} A(x) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{x+1}{x+m+L} \right)^x \\
&= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{-(m-1+L)}{x+m+L} \right)^{\frac{x+m+L}{-(m-1+L)} \frac{-x(m-1+L)}{x+m+L}} \\
&= e^{-(m-1+L)}. \\
(\log A(x))' &= \log \frac{x+1}{x+m+L} + \frac{x(m+L-1)}{(x+1)(x+m+L)} \\
&\leq \log \frac{x+1}{x+m+L} + \frac{m+L-1}{x+m+L} \\
&= \log \frac{x+1}{x+m+L} - \frac{x+1}{x+m+L} + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

The above inequality is negative, due to the function $\log \eta - \eta + 1$ takes values $-\infty$ and 0 at $\eta = 0$ and $\eta = 1$, respectively and is strictly increasing in $\eta \in (0, 1)$. Thus $\log A$ is strictly decreasing, in turn the function A is strictly decreasing on $[1, \infty)$. Hence it follows that $\inf_{x \geq 1} A(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} A(x) = e^{-(m-1+L)}$. This ends the proof. \square

Lemma 2.2 *Let $m, j \in \mathbb{N}$, then the function*

$$f_j(x) = x^j (1-x)^m \log \frac{3}{1-x}, \quad x \in (0, 1),$$

is decreasing on $[r_j, 1)$. Also, we have that

$$\frac{j^m}{\log \frac{j+1}{m-1+L}} f_j(x) \geq \frac{L^m}{3^m e^{m-1+L}} \quad \text{for all } x \in [r_j, r_{j+1}], \quad (1)$$

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m}{\log \frac{j+1}{m-1+L}} \min_{x \in [r_j, r_{j+1}]} f_j(x) = \frac{(m-1+L)^m}{e^{m-1+L}}. \quad (2)$$

Proof. It suffices to show that f_j is decreasing on $[r_j, r_{j+1}]$. Since

$$f_j'(x) = x^j (1-x)^{m-1} \left((j(1-x) - mx) \log \frac{3}{1-x} + x \right),$$

and $L \in (0, 1)$, then we have $j - (j+m)x < 0$ holds for all $x \in [r_j, 1)$. By the fact $\log \frac{3}{1-x} \geq \log 3$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$, it follows that

$$f_j'(x) \leq x^{j-1} (1-x)^{m-1} ((j - (j+m)x) \log 3 + x).$$

Since the function

$$h_j(x) = (j - (j+m)x) \log 3 + x = j \log 3 - ((j+m) \log 3 - 1)x$$

is decreasing for $x \in [r_j, 1)$ and $h_j(r_j) = 0$, thus $f_j'(x) < 0$ for all $x \in (r_j, 1)$. That is, f_j is decreasing on $[r_j, 1)$. On the other hand, by the first statement in this lemma and lemma 2.1, we get that for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and all

$x \in [r_j, r_{j+1}]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{j^m}{\log \frac{j+1}{m-1+L}} f_j(x) \geq \frac{j^m}{\log \frac{j+1}{m-1+L}} f_j(r_{j+1}) \\
&= \frac{j^m}{\log \frac{j+1}{m-1+L}} \left(1 - \frac{m-1+L}{j+m+L}\right)^j \left(\frac{m-1+L}{j+m+L}\right)^m \log \frac{3(j+m+L)}{m-1+L} \\
&= \frac{\log \frac{3(j+m+L)}{m-1+L}}{\log \frac{j+1}{m-1+L}} \left(\frac{j+1}{j+m+L}\right)^j \left(\frac{(m-1+L)j}{j+m+L}\right)^m \\
&\geq 1 \cdot e^{-(m-1+L)} \left(\frac{m-1+L}{m+1+L}\right)^m \\
&\geq \frac{L^m}{3^m e^{m-1+L}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m}{\log \frac{j+1}{m-1+L}} \min_{x \in [r_j, r_{j+1}]} f_j(x) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m}{\log \frac{j+1}{m-1+L}} f_j(r_{j+1}) \\
&= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \frac{3(j+m+L)}{m-1+L}}{\log \frac{j+1}{m-1+L}} \left(\frac{j+1}{j+m+L}\right)^j \left(\frac{(m-1+L)j}{j+m+L}\right)^m \\
&= \frac{(m-1+L)^m}{e^{m-1+L}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

A positive continuous function v on $[0, 1)$ is called *normal* (see, e.g. [18]), if there exist three positive constants $0 \leq \delta < 1$, and $0 < a < b < \infty$, such that for $r \in [\delta, 1)$

$$\frac{v(r)}{(1-r)^a} \downarrow 0, \quad \frac{v(r)}{(1-r)^b} \uparrow \infty \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 1.$$

Denoting $v_1(z) = (1-|z|)^m \log \left(\frac{3}{1-|z|}\right)$, it is clear that v_1 is a *normal weight*. From [17, Lemma 3] we obtain that "Assume that v is a *normal weight*, then $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} v(z)|f(z)| \asymp |f(0)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} v(z)(1-|z|)|f'(z)|$ for every $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$." Hence the following result holds for the log-Bloch space \mathcal{B}_{\log} on the unit disk:

Lemma 2.3 For $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$f \in \mathcal{B}_{\log} \Leftrightarrow \|f\|_{\log} \asymp \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1-|z|)^m \log \left(\frac{3}{1-|z|}\right) |f^{(m)}(z)| < \infty.$$

Hence, $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\log} \Leftrightarrow f^{(m)} \in H_{v_1}^\infty = \{f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_{v_1} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} v_1(z)|f(z)| < \infty\}$. Moreover, v_1 is also a *essential weight*. In fact, a weight $v : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is called *radial* if $v(z) = v(|z|)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. The so-called associated weights are defined by

$$\tilde{v}(z) = (\sup\{|f(z)| : f \in H_v^\infty, \|f\|_v \leq 1\})^{-1}.$$

It is evident that \tilde{v} is also a weight. A weight v is called *essential* if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$v(z) \leq \tilde{v}(z) \leq Cv(z) \quad \text{for each } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Besides, the following condition (L1) which was introduced by Lusky in [12] plays an important part in deciding whether a weight is *essential* or not,

$$(L1) \quad \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{v(1-2^{-n-1})}{v(1-2^{-n})} > 0.$$

Radial weights which satisfy (L1) are always *essential* (see [1]). It is obvious that the weight v_1 is *radial* and satisfies

$$\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{v_1(1 - 2^{-n-1})}{v_1(1 - 2^{-n})} = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2^m} \frac{\log 6 \cdot 2^n}{\log 3 \cdot 2^n} > \frac{1}{2^m} > 0.$$

Hence v_1 is *essential*, which will be used to show the following theorem A.

Lemma 2.4 [2, Lemma 2.3]

$$\frac{\|z^j\|_{\log}}{\log(j+1)} \asymp \frac{1}{e} \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3)$$

Besides, since

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(j+1)}{\log \frac{j+1}{m-1+L}} = 1,$$

thus

$$\frac{\|z^j\|_{\log}}{\log \frac{j+1}{m-1+L}} \asymp \frac{1}{e} \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4)$$

Lemma 2.5 For f_j defined in lemma 2.2, the following statements hold:

- (a) for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a unique $x_j \in (0, 1)$ such that $f_j(x_j)$ is the absolute maximum of f_j .
- (b) the sequence $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_j = 1^-, \quad (5)$$

where “ $-$ ” denotes that x_j tends to 1 from the left, moreover,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j(1 - x_j) = m. \quad (6)$$

(c)

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m}{\log(j+1)} \max_{0 < x < 1} f_j(x) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m}{\log(j+1)} f_j(x_j) = \frac{m^m}{e^m}. \quad (7)$$

Proof. It is obvious that

$$f'_j(x) = x^j(1-x)^{m-1} \left((j(1-x) - mx) \log \frac{3}{1-x} + x \right).$$

We denote

$$g_j(x) = (j(1-x) - mx) \log \frac{3}{1-x} + x.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} g'_j(x) &= j+1+m - \frac{m}{1-x} - (j+m) \log \frac{3}{1-x} \\ &\leq j+1+m - m - (j+m) \log 3 \\ &= j+1 - (j+m) \log 3 < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus g_j is strictly decreasing on $(0, 1)$, and since

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} g_j(x) = j \log j > 0 \text{ and } \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} g_j(x) = -\infty,$$

hence there is a unique $x_j \in (0, 1)$ such that $g_j(x_j) = 0$. It is clear that $g_j(x) > 0$ whenever $x \in (0, x_j)$ and that $g_j(x) < 0$ whenever $x \in (x_j, 1)$. Since

$$f'_j(x) = x^{j-1}(1-x)^{m-1} g_j(x),$$

so the function f_j is increasing on $(0, x_j)$ and decreasing on $(x_j, 1)$. Therefore, f_j has a unique absolute maximum at x_j , which implies (a) holds.

Since $g_j(x_j) = (j(1-x_j) - mx_j) \log \frac{3}{1-x_j} + x_j = 0$, thus

$$\left((1-x_j) - \frac{mx_j}{j} \right) \log \frac{3}{1-x_j} = \frac{-x_j}{j}.$$

Since $x_j \in (0, 1)$, then letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ in the above equation, it follows that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left((1-x_j) - \frac{mx_j}{j} \right) \log \frac{3}{1-x_j} = 0.$$

However, $\log \frac{3}{1-x_j} \geq \log 3$, then $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} [(1-x_j) - \frac{mx_j}{j}] = 0$. Hence $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_j = 1^-$. That is, (5) is true.

Using $g_j(x_j) = 0$ again, we have that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} [j(1-x_j) - mx_j] = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-x_j}{\log \frac{3}{1-x_j}} = 0.$$

Which implies that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j(1-x_j) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} mx_j = m$. That is, (6) is true.

Since

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j \log x_j = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j(x_j - 1) \frac{\log[1 + (x_j - 1)]}{x_j - 1} = -m,$$

then $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_j^j = e^{-m}$. Further by

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \frac{3}{1-x_j}}{\log(j+1)} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \frac{3j}{j(1-x_j)}}{\log(j+1)} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\log 3j}{\log(j+1)} - \frac{\log(j(1-x_j))}{\log(j+1)} \right) = 1,$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m}{\log(j+1)} \max_{0 < x < 1} f_j(x) &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m}{\log(j+1)} x_j^j (1-x_j)^m \log \frac{3}{1-x_j} \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \frac{3}{1-x_j}}{\log(j+1)} j^m (1-x_j)^m x_j^j = \frac{m^m}{e^m}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

In this paper, we use the following notation. Let $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$, define

$$I_u f(z) = \int_0^z f'(\zeta) u(\zeta) d\zeta, \quad J_u f(z) = \int_0^z f(\zeta) u'(\zeta) d\zeta.$$

Then it follows that

$$I_u(\varphi^{j+1})(z) = \int_0^z (\varphi^{j+1})'(\zeta) u(\zeta) d\zeta, \quad J_u(\varphi^j)(z) = \int_0^z \varphi^j(\zeta) u'(\zeta) d\zeta. \quad (8)$$

Besides,

$$\|I_u(\varphi^{j+1})\|_\mu = (j+1) \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |\varphi(z)|^j |u(z) \varphi'(z)|, \quad \|J_u(\varphi^j)\|_\mu = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |\varphi^j(z) u'(z)|.$$

Since $(D_{\varphi, u}^m f)' = u' f^{(m)} \circ \varphi + u \varphi' f^{(m+1)} \circ \varphi$, thus $D_{\varphi, u}^m : \mathcal{B}_{\log} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$ is bounded (or compact) if and only if the weighted composition operators $u' C_\varphi : H_{v_1}^\infty \rightarrow H_\mu^\infty$ and $[u \varphi'] C_\varphi : H_{v_2}^\infty \rightarrow H_\mu^\infty$ are bounded (or compact), where $v_2(z) = (1-|z|)^{m+1} \log \left(\frac{3}{1-|z|} \right)$ is also a *essential weight*. Similar to the paper [3, Proposition 3.1] we obtain the following theorem A.

Theorem A Let $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$, $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and μ be a weight on \mathbb{D} , Then the operator $D_{\varphi,u}^m : \mathcal{B}_{\log} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$ is bounded if and only if

$$M_1 := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z)|u'(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^m \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|}} < \infty,$$

$$M_2 := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z)|u(z)\varphi'(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|}} < \infty.$$

Based on the above result, we will give the new criterion for the boundedness of the operator $D_{\varphi,u}^m : \mathcal{B}_{\log} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$.

3 The boundedness

Theorem 3.1 Let $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$, $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and μ be a weight on \mathbb{D} . Then $D_{\varphi,u}^m : \mathcal{B}_{\log} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$ is bounded if and only if $u \in \mathcal{B}_\mu$, $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z)|u(z)\varphi'(z)| < \infty$ and both of the inequalities hold:

$$\sup_{j \geq 1} \frac{j^m \|J_u \varphi^j\|_\mu}{\|z^j\|_{\log}} < \infty, \quad (9)$$

$$\sup_{j \geq 1} \frac{j^m \|I_u \varphi^{j+1}\|_\mu}{\|z^j\|_{\log}} < \infty. \quad (10)$$

Proof. Necessity. Suppose the operator $D_{\varphi,u}^m : \mathcal{B}_{\log} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$ is bounded. Since the function $f_1(z) = z^m \in \mathcal{B}_{\log}$ and $f_2(z) = z^{m+1} \in \mathcal{B}_{\log}$, then we have that

$$m! \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z)|u'(z)| < \infty.$$

$$(m+1)! \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z)|u'(z)\varphi(z) + u(z)\varphi'(z)| < \infty.$$

From the above inequalities, we obtain that $u \in \mathcal{B}_\mu$ and $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z)|u(z)\varphi'(z)| < \infty$. Next we will show (9) and (10) hold. From (7), we know that there exists a constant $K_1 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{j \geq 1} \frac{j^m}{\log(j+1)} \max_{0 < x < 1} x^j (1-x)^m \log \frac{3}{1-x} < K_1. \quad (11)$$

Since the operator $D_{\varphi,u}^m : \mathcal{B}_{\log} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$ is bounded, then by theorem A, lemma 2.4 and (11), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{j \geq 1} \frac{j^m \|J_u \varphi^j\|_\mu}{\|z^j\|_{\log}} &\leq \sup_{j \geq 1} \frac{j^m}{\log(j+1)} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z)|\varphi^j(z)u'(z)| \\ &= \sup_{j \geq 1} \frac{j^m}{\log(j+1)} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z)|u'(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^m \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|}} |\varphi(z)|^j (1 - |\varphi(z)|)^m \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|} \\ &\leq M_1 \sup_{j \geq 1} \frac{j^m}{\log(j+1)} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |\varphi(z)|^j (1 - |\varphi(z)|)^m \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|} < M_1 K_1. \end{aligned}$$

That is, (9) holds. Similarly, from (7), we obtain that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^{m+1}}{\log(j+1)} \max_{0 < x < 1} x^j (1-x)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1-x} = \frac{(m+1)^{m+1}}{e^{m+1}}.$$

By the above equation, there exists a constant $K_2 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{j \geq 1} \frac{j^{m+1}}{\log(j+1)} \max_{0 < x < 1} x^j (1-x)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1-x} < K_2. \quad (12)$$

Similarly, by theorem A, lemma 2.4 and (12),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{j \geq 1} \frac{j^m \|I_u \varphi^{j+1}\|_\mu}{\|z^j\|_{\log}} \preceq \sup_{j \geq 1} \frac{j^m}{\log(j+1)} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (j+1) \mu(z) |\varphi^j(z) \varphi'(z) u(z)| \\
& = \sup_{j \geq 1} \frac{j^m (j+1)}{\log(j+1)} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z) |u(z) \varphi'(z)|}{(1-|\varphi(z)|)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1-|\varphi(z)|}} |\varphi(z)|^j (1-|\varphi(z)|)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1-|\varphi(z)|} \\
& \leq M_2 \sup_{j \geq 1} \frac{j^m (j+1)}{\log(j+1)} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |\varphi(z)|^j (1-|\varphi(z)|)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1-|\varphi(z)|} \\
& \preceq M_2 \sup_{j \geq 1} \frac{j^{m+1}}{\log(j+1)} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |\varphi(z)|^j (1-|\varphi(z)|)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1-|\varphi(z)|} \preceq M_2 K_2.
\end{aligned}$$

That is, (10) holds.

Sufficiency. Firstly, if $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |\varphi(z)| < 1$, then there is a number $r \in (0, 1)$ such that $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |\varphi(z)| < r$. For every $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\log}$ with $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log}} \leq 1$, by the condition $u \in \mathcal{B}_\mu$ and $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |u(z) \varphi'(z)| < \infty$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|D_{\varphi, u}^m f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} = |(D_{\varphi, u}^m f)(0)| + \|D_{\varphi, u}^m f\|_\mu \\
& = |u(0)| |f^{(m)}(\varphi(0))| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |u'(z) f^{(m)}(\varphi(z)) + u(z) f^{(m+1)}(\varphi(z)) \varphi'(z)| \\
& \leq |u(0)| |f^{(m)}(\varphi(0))| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |u'(z) f^{(m)}(\varphi(z))| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |u(z) f^{(m+1)}(\varphi(z)) \varphi'(z)| \\
& \leq \frac{|u(0)| \|f\|_{\log}}{(1-|\varphi(0)|)^m \log \frac{3}{1-|\varphi(0)|}} + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z) |u'(z)| \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log}}}{(1-|\varphi(z)|)^m \log \frac{3}{1-|\varphi(z)|}} + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z) |u(z) \varphi'(z)| \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log}}}{(1-|\varphi(z)|)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1-|\varphi(z)|}} \\
& \leq \frac{|u(0)|}{(1-|\varphi(0)|)^m \log \frac{3}{1-|\varphi(0)|}} + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z) |u'(z)|}{(1-r)^m \log \frac{3}{1-r}} + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z) |u(z) \varphi'(z)|}{(1-r)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1-r}} < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies the boundedness of $D_{\varphi, u}^m : \mathcal{B}_{\log} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$.

Secondly, if $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |\varphi(z)| = 1$. For every $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\log}$ with $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log}} \leq 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|D_{\varphi, u}^m f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} = |(D_{\varphi, u}^m f)(0)| + \|D_{\varphi, u}^m f\|_\mu \\
& = |u(0)| |f^{(m)}(\varphi(0))| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |u'(z) f^{(m)}(\varphi(z)) + u(z) f^{(m+1)}(\varphi(z)) \varphi'(z)| \\
& \leq |u(0)| |f^{(m)}(\varphi(0))| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |u'(z) f^{(m)}(\varphi(z))| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |u(z) f^{(m+1)}(\varphi(z)) \varphi'(z)| \\
& \leq \frac{|u(0)| \|f\|_{\log}}{(1-|\varphi(0)|)^m \log \frac{3}{1-|\varphi(0)|}} + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z) |u'(z)| \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log}}}{(1-|\varphi(z)|)^m \log \frac{3}{1-|\varphi(z)|}} + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z) |u(z) \varphi'(z)| \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log}}}{(1-|\varphi(z)|)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1-|\varphi(z)|}} \\
& \leq \frac{|u(0)|}{(1-|\varphi(0)|)^m \log \frac{3}{1-|\varphi(0)|}} + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z) |u'(z)|}{(1-|\varphi(z)|)^m \log \frac{3}{1-|\varphi(z)|}} + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z) |u(z) \varphi'(z)|}{(1-|\varphi(z)|)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1-|\varphi(z)|}} \\
& = I_1 + I_2 + I_3, \tag{13}
\end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that $I_1 < \infty$. We only need to show that I_2 and I_3 is finite. For any integer $j \geq 1$, let

$$A_\varphi^j = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : r_j \leq |\varphi(z)| < r_{j+1}\},$$

where $r_j = 1 - \frac{m-1+L}{j+m-1+L}$. Let k be the smallest positive integer such that $A_\varphi^k \neq \emptyset$. Since $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |\varphi(z)| = 1$, hence the set A_φ^j is not empty for every integer $j \geq k$, and $\mathbb{D} = \cup_{j=k}^\infty A_\varphi^j$. By (1), for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{j^m}{\log \frac{j+1}{m+1+L}} \min_{x \in [r_j, r_{j+1}]} f_j(x) \geq \frac{L^m}{3^m e^{m-1+L}} = \delta_1. \tag{14}$$

Hence by (14) and (4), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z)|u(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^m \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|}} \\
&= \sup_{k \leq j} \sup_{z \in A_\varphi^j} \frac{j^m \mu(z)|u'(z)||\varphi(z)|^j}{\log \frac{j+1}{m+1+L} |\varphi(z)|^j (1 - |\varphi(z)|)^m \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|} \log \frac{j+1}{m+1+L}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\delta_1} \sup_{k \leq j} \sup_{z \in A_\varphi^j} \frac{j^m \mu(z)|u'(z)||\varphi(z)|^j}{\log \frac{j+1}{m+1+L}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\delta_1} \sup_{1 \leq j} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{j^m \mu(z)|u'(z)||\varphi(z)|^j}{\log \frac{j+1}{m+1+L}} \\
&\preceq \frac{1}{\delta_1} \sup_{j \geq 1} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{j^m \mu(z)|u'(z)||\varphi(z)|^j}{\|z^j\|_{\log}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\delta_1} \sup_{j \geq 1} \frac{j^m \|J_u \varphi^j\|_\mu}{\|z^j\|_{\log}}. \tag{15}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\frac{j^{m+1}}{\log \frac{j+1}{m+L}} \min_{x \in A_\varphi^j} x^j (1-x)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1-x} \geq \frac{L^{m+1}}{3^{m+1} e^{m+L}} = \delta_2$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z)|u(z)\varphi'(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|}} \\
&= \sup_{k \leq j} \sup_{z \in A_\varphi^j} \frac{\mu(z)|u(z)\varphi'(z)|j^{m+1}|\varphi(z)|^j}{\log \frac{j+1}{m+L} |\varphi(z)|^j (1 - |\varphi(z)|)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|} \log \frac{j+1}{m+L}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\delta_2} \sup_{k \leq j} \sup_{z \in A_\varphi^j} \frac{\mu(z)|u(z)\varphi'(z)|j^{m+1}|\varphi(z)|^j}{\log \frac{j+1}{m+L}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\delta_2} \sup_{j \geq 1} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z)|u(z)\varphi'(z)|j^{m+1}|\varphi(z)|^j}{\log \frac{j+1}{m+L}} \\
&\preceq \frac{1}{\delta_2} \sup_{j \geq 1} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z)|u(z)\varphi'(z)|j^{m+1}|\varphi(z)|^j}{\|z^j\|_{\log}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\delta_2} \sup_{j \geq 1} \frac{j^{m+1} \|I_u \varphi^{j+1}\|_\mu}{(j+1) \|z^j\|_{\log}} \\
&\preceq \frac{1}{\delta_2} \sup_{j \geq 1} \frac{j^m \|I_u \varphi^{j+1}\|_\mu}{\|z^j\|_{\log}}. \tag{16}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (13), (15) and (16) we obtain the boundedness of $D_{\varphi, u}^m : \mathcal{B}_{\log} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$ in this case. Now the proof is complete. \square

4 The essential norm

In this section, we will give an estimate for the essential norm of $D_{\varphi, u}^m : \mathcal{B}_{\log} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$. To simplify the notations, we denote

$$A := \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m \|J_u \varphi^j\|_\mu}{\|z^j\|_{\log}} \quad \text{and} \quad B := \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m \|I_u \varphi^{j+1}\|_\mu}{\|z^j\|_{\log}}.$$

For $r \in [0, 1]$, we define the linear dilation operator $K_r : H(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow H(\mathbb{D})$ by $K_r f = f_r$, where $f_r(z) = f(rz)$. Then we have:

Lemma 4.1 [2, Lemma 5.2] *Let $r \in [0, 1]$. Then the following statements hold:*

(a) \mathcal{B}_{\log} is a K_r -invariant subspace of $H(\mathbb{D})$; moreover, we have that

$$\|K_r\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\log}} \leq 1.$$

(b) If $r \neq 1$, then K_r is compact on \mathcal{B}_{\log} .

The following criterion for compactness comes from an easy modification of [4, Proposition 3.11]. Hence we omit the details.

Lemma 4.2 *Let μ_1 and μ_2 be weights on \mathbb{D} , $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$. Then the operator $D_{\varphi, u}^m : \mathcal{B}_{\mu_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mu_2}$ is compact if and only if given any bounded sequence $(f_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{B}_{μ_1} such that f_j converges to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} , then $\|D_{\varphi, u}^m(f_j)\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\mu_2}} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.*

Theorem 4.3 *Let $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$, $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and μ be a weight on \mathbb{D} . Suppose that the operator $D_{\varphi, u}^m : \mathcal{B}_{\log} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mu}$ is bounded. Then*

$$\|D_{\varphi, u}^m\|_e \asymp A + B. \quad (17)$$

Proof. Since the operator $D_{\varphi, u}^m : \mathcal{B}_{\log} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mu}$ is bounded, then $A < \infty$ and $B < \infty$. Moreover,

$$L_1 := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |u'(z)| < \infty, \quad L_2 := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |u(z)\varphi'(z)| < \infty.$$

Firstly, if $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |\varphi(z)| < 1$, then there is a number $r \in (0, 1)$ such that $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |\varphi(z)| < r$. It's easy to verify the operator $D_{\varphi, u}^m : \mathcal{B}_{\log} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mu}$ is compact by lemma 4.2. In fact, let $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence in \mathcal{B}_{\log} converging to zero uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} as $k \rightarrow \infty$. We denote $L := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_k\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log}} < \infty$. By

Cauchy's integral formula, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_{\varphi, u}^m f_k\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\mu}} &= |(D_{\varphi, u}^m f_k)(0)| + \|D_{\varphi, u}^m f_k\|_{\mu} \\ &= |u(0)| |f_k^{(m)}(\varphi(0))| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |u'(z) f_k^{(m)}(\varphi(z)) + u(z) f_k^{(m+1)}(\varphi(z)) \varphi'(z)| \\ &\leq |u(0)| |f_k^{(m)}(\varphi(0))| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |u'(z) f_k^{(m)}(\varphi(z))| \\ &\quad + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |u(z) \varphi'(z)| |f_k^{(m+1)}(\varphi(z))| \\ &\leq |u(0)| |f_k^{(m)}(\varphi(0))| + L_1 \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f_k^{(m)}(\varphi(z))| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} L_2 |f_k^{(m+1)}(\varphi(z))| \\ &\leq |u(0)| |f_k^{(m)}(\varphi(0))| + L_1 \sup_{|w| \leq r} |f_k^{(m)}(w)| + L_2 \sup_{|w| \leq r} |f_k^{(m+1)}(w)| \\ &\rightarrow 0, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Employing lemma 4.2, it is clear that the operator $D_{\varphi, u}^m : \mathcal{B}_{\log} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mu}$ is compact. Thus

$$\|D_{\varphi, u}^m\|_e = 0. \quad (18)$$

On the other hand, by (3) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &= \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m \|J_u \varphi^j\|_\mu}{\|z^j\|_{\log}} \preceq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m \|J_u \varphi^j\|_\mu}{\log(j+1)} \\
 &= \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m}{\log(j+1)} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |u'(z) \varphi^j(z)| \\
 &\leq L_1 \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m}{\log(j+1)} r^j = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 B &= \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m \|I_u \varphi^{j+1}\|_\mu}{\|z^j\|_{\log}} \preceq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m \|I_u \varphi^{j+1}\|_\mu}{\log(j+1)} \\
 &= \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m}{\log(j+1)} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) (j+1) |\varphi(z)|^j |\varphi'(z)| |u(z)| \\
 &\leq L_2 \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m (j+1)}{\log(j+1)} r^j = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

From (18)-(20), both sides of (17) are zero. Therefore the essential norm formula is true in this case. In the sequel, we assume that $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |\varphi(z)| = 1$.

The upper bounded. By the boundedness of the operator $D_{\varphi,u}^m : \mathcal{B}_{\log} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$ and lemma 4.2, we know the operator $D_{\varphi,u}^m K_{r_j} : \mathcal{B}_{\log} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$ is compact. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|D_{\varphi,u}^m\|_e &\leq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|D_{\varphi,u}^m - D_{\varphi,u}^m K_{r_j}\| \\
 &= \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log}} \leq 1} \|(D_{\varphi,u}^m - D_{\varphi,u}^m K_{r_j})f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} \\
 &\leq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log}} \leq 1} |(D_{\varphi,u}^m - D_{\varphi,u}^m K_{r_j})f(0)| \\
 &\quad + \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log}} \leq 1} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |(D_{\varphi,u}^m - D_{\varphi,u}^m K_{r_j})'f(z)| \\
 &\leq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log}} \leq 1} |u(0) f^{(m)}(\varphi(0)) - r_j^m u(0) f^{(m)}(r_j \varphi(0))| \\
 &\quad + \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log}} \leq 1} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |u'(z)| |f^{(m)}(\varphi(z)) - r_j^m f^{(m)}(r_j \varphi(z))| \\
 &\quad + \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log}} \leq 1} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |u(z) \varphi'(z)| |f^{(m+1)}(\varphi(z)) - r_j^{m+1} f^{(m+1)}(r_j \varphi(z))| \\
 &\leq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log}} \leq 1} |u(0)| |f^{(m)}(\varphi(0)) - r_j^m f^{(m)}(r_j \varphi(0))| \\
 &\quad + J_1 + J_2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

On the one hand, similar to [2, Lemma 5.3], by $r_j \rightarrow 1$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and Cauchy's integral formula, it follows that

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log}} \leq 1} |u(0)| |f^{(m)}(\varphi(0)) - r_j^m f^{(m)}(r_j \varphi(0))| = 0.$$

On the other hand, for each positive integer $j \in \mathbb{N}$, denote the set $A_\varphi^j = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : r_j \leq |\varphi(z)| < r_{j+1}\}$. Since $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |\varphi(z)| = 1$, then there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A_\varphi^k \neq \emptyset$ and A_φ^j is not empty for every integer $j \geq k$.

Hence $\mathbb{D} = \bigcup_{j=k}^\infty A_\varphi^j$. By (2), for an arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, there is $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any integer $j \geq N_1$,

$$\frac{j^m}{\log \frac{j+1}{m-1+L}} \min_{x \in [r_j, r_{j+1}]} x^j (1-x)^m \log \frac{3}{1-x} > \frac{(m-1+L)^m}{e^{m-1+L}} - \epsilon. \tag{22}$$

Further by

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^{m+1}}{\log \frac{j+1}{m+L}} \min_{x \in [r_j, r_{j+1}]} x^j (1-x)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1-x} = \frac{(m+L)^{m+1}}{e^{m+L}},$$

there is $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any integer $j \geq N_2$,

$$\frac{j^{m+1}}{\log \frac{j+1}{m+L}} \min_{x \in [r_j, r_{j+1}]} x^j (1-x)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1-x} > \frac{(m+L)^{m+1}}{e^{m+L}} - \epsilon. \quad (23)$$

In the following, denote $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$. In fact (22) is used to prove J_1 and (23) for J_2 . We divide J_1 into two parts

$$\begin{aligned} J_{1,1} &= \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log} \leq 1}} \sup_{k \leq j \leq N} \sup_{z \in A_\varphi^j} \mu(z) |u'(z)| |f^{(m)}(\varphi(z)) - r_j^m f^{(m)}(r_j \varphi(z))|; \\ J_{1,2} &= \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log} \leq 1}} \sup_{N+1 \leq j} \sup_{z \in A_\varphi^j} \mu(z) |u'(z)| |f^{(m)}(\varphi(z)) - r_j^m f^{(m)}(r_j \varphi(z))|. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{1,1} &\leq L_1 \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log} \leq 1}} \sup_{r_k \leq |\varphi(z)| \leq r_{N+1}} |f^{(m)}(\varphi(z)) - r_j^m f^{(m)}(r_j \varphi(z))| \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{1,2} &\leq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log} \leq 1}} \sup_{N+1 \leq j} \sup_{z \in A_\varphi^j} \mu(z) |u'(z)| (|f^{(m)}(\varphi(z))| + |r_j^m f^{(m)}(r_j \varphi(z))|) \\ &\leq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log} \leq 1}} \sup_{N+1 \leq j} \sup_{z \in A_\varphi^j} \mu(z) |u'(z)| (|f^{(m)}(\varphi(z))| + |f^{(m)}(r_j \varphi(z))|). \end{aligned}$$

Denote the expression $s(\rho)$ for $\rho \in (0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} s(\rho) &= \sup_{N+1 \leq j} \sup_{z \in A_\varphi^j} \mu(z) |u'(z)| |f^{(m)}(\rho \varphi(z))| \\ &= \sup_{N+1 \leq j} \sup_{z \in A_\varphi^j} \mu(z) |u'(z)| \frac{|f^{(m)}(\rho \varphi(z))| (1 - |\rho \varphi(z)|)^m \log \frac{3}{1 - |\rho \varphi(z)|}}{(1 - |\rho \varphi(z)|)^m \log \frac{3}{1 - |\rho \varphi(z)|}} \\ &\leq \sup_{N+1 \leq j} \sup_{z \in A_\varphi^j} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log}} \frac{\mu(z) |u'(z)|}{(1 - |\rho \varphi(z)|)^m \log \frac{3}{1 - |\rho \varphi(z)|}} \\ &\leq \sup_{N+1 \leq j} \sup_{z \in A_\varphi^j} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log}} \frac{\mu(z) |u'(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^m \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|}} \\ &= \sup_{N+1 \leq j} \sup_{z \in A_\varphi^j} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log}} \frac{j^m \mu(z) |u'(z)| |\varphi(z)|^j}{j^m |\varphi(z)|^j (1 - |\varphi(z)|)^m \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|}} \\ &\preceq \sup_{N+1 \leq j} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log}} \frac{j^m \|J_u \varphi^j\|_\mu}{\|z^j\|_{\log}} \sup_{z \in A_\varphi^j} \frac{\log \frac{j+1}{m-1+L}}{j^m |\varphi(z)|^j (1 - |\varphi(z)|)^m \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\frac{(m-1+L)^m}{e^{m-1+L}} - \epsilon} \sup_{N+1 \leq j} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log}} \frac{j^m \|J_u \varphi^j\|_\mu}{\|z^j\|_{\log}}, \end{aligned}$$

In the above chain of relations, the first inequality follows from the definition of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log}}$ and lemma 2.3. The second inequality is due to the fact that the function $(1-x)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1-x}$ is decreasing on $[0, 1]$. The third and fourth inequalities follow from (4) and (22), respectively. Since ϵ is arbitrary, then

$$\begin{aligned} J_{1,2} &\leq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log}} \leq 1} (s(1) + s(r_j)) \\ &\preceq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m \|J_u \varphi^j\|_{\mu}}{\|z^j\|_{\log}}. \end{aligned}$$

The above inequalities imply that

$$J_1 \preceq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m \|J_u \varphi^j\|_{\mu}}{\|z^j\|_{\log}}. \quad (24)$$

Using the similar way by replacing (22) with (23), we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log}} \leq 1} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |u(z) \varphi'(z)| |f^{(m+1)}(\varphi(z)) - r_j^{(m+1)} f^{(m+1)}(r_j \varphi(z))| \\ &\preceq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m \|I_u \varphi^{j+1}\|_{\mu}}{\|z^j\|_{\log}}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Combining (21), (24) and (25), it follows the *upper bounded*.

The lower bounded. The assumption $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |\varphi(z)| = 1$ holds. For every compact operator $K : \mathcal{B}_{\log} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mu}$ and each sequence $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{B}_{\log}$ with $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_k\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\log}} < \infty$, and f_k converging to zero on the compact subsets of \mathbb{D} , it follows that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|K f_k\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\mu}} = 0$. Thus

$$\|D_{\varphi,u}^m - K\| \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(D_{\varphi,u}^m - K) f_k\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\mu}} \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|D_{\varphi,u}^m(f_k)\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\mu}},$$

that is, $\|D_{\varphi,u}^m\|_e \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|D_{\varphi,u}^m(f_k)\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\mu}}$.

Choosing a sequence $\{a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{D}$ such that $|\varphi(a_k)| \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we define the function sequences $\{f_{1,k}^{(m)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{f_{2,k}^{(m)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1,k}^{(m)}(z) &= \frac{(m+2)(1-|\varphi(a_k)|^2)}{(1-\overline{\varphi(a_k)}z)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1-\overline{\varphi(a_k)}z}} - \frac{(m+2)(1-|\varphi(a_k)|^2)^2}{(1-\overline{\varphi(a_k)}z)^{m+2} \log \frac{3}{1-\overline{\varphi(a_k)}z}}; \\ f_{2,k}^{(m)}(z) &= \frac{(m+2)(1-|\varphi(a_k)|^2)}{(1-\overline{\varphi(a_k)}z)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1-\overline{\varphi(a_k)}z}} - \frac{(m+1)(1-|\varphi(a_k)|^2)^2}{(1-\overline{\varphi(a_k)}z)^{m+2} \log \frac{3}{1-\overline{\varphi(a_k)}z}}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $\{f_{1,k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{B}_{\log}$ and $\{f_{2,k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{B}_{\log}$; moreover, both sequences converge to zero uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since $f_{1,k}^{(m)}(\varphi(a_k)) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1,k}^{(m+1)}(\varphi(a_k)) &= \frac{(m+1)\overline{\varphi(a_k)}}{(1-|\varphi(a_k)|^2)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1-|\varphi(a_k)|^2}}, \\ f_{2,k}^{(m)}(\varphi(a_k)) &= \frac{1}{(1-|\varphi(a_k)|^2)^m \log \frac{3}{1-|\varphi(a_k)|^2}}, \\ f_{2,k}^{(m+1)}(\varphi(a_k)) &= \frac{\overline{\varphi(a_k)}}{(1-|\varphi(a_k)|^2)^{m+1} \left(\log \frac{3}{1-|\varphi(a_k)|^2}\right)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|D_{\varphi,u}^m\|_e &\geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|D_{\varphi,u}^m(f_{1,k})\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|D_{\varphi,u}^m(f_{1,k})\|_\mu \\
&= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |u'(z) f_{1,k}^{(m)}(\varphi(z)) + u(z) \varphi'(z) f_{1,k}^{(m+1)}(\varphi(z))| \\
&\geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(a_k) |u'(a_k) f_{1,k}^{(m)}(\varphi(a_k)) + u(a_k) \varphi'(a_k) f_{1,k}^{(m+1)}(\varphi(a_k))| \\
&= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(a_k) |u(a_k) \varphi'(a_k)| (m+1) |\varphi(a_k)|}{(1 - |\varphi(a_k)|^2)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(a_k)|^2}} \\
&\asymp \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(a_k) |u(a_k) \varphi'(a_k)|}{(1 - |\varphi(a_k)|^2)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(a_k)|^2}} \tag{26}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(z) |u(z) \varphi'(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}}, \tag{27}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|D_{\varphi,u}^m\|_e &\geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|D_{\varphi,u}^m(f_{2,k})\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|D_{\varphi,u}^m(f_{2,k})\|_\mu \\
&= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |u'(z) f_{2,k}^{(m)}(\varphi(z)) + u(z) \varphi'(z) f_{2,k}^{(m+1)}(\varphi(z))| \\
&\geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(a_k) |u'(a_k) f_{2,k}^{(m)}(\varphi(a_k)) + u(a_k) \varphi'(a_k) f_{2,k}^{(m+1)}(\varphi(a_k))| \\
&\geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(a_k) |u'(a_k)|}{(1 - |\varphi(a_k)|^2)^m \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(a_k)|^2}} \\
&\quad - \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(a_k) |u(a_k) \varphi'(a_k) \overline{\varphi(a_k)}|}{(1 - |\varphi(a_k)|^2)^{m+1} \left(\log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(a_k)|^2} \right)^2} \\
&= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(a_k) |u'(a_k)|}{(1 - |\varphi(a_k)|^2)^m \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(a_k)|^2}} \\
&\quad - \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(a_k) |u(a_k) \varphi'(a_k)|}{(1 - |\varphi(a_k)|^2)^{m+1} \left(\log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(a_k)|^2} \right)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(a_k)|^2} \leq \left(\log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(a_k)|^2} \right)^2,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(a_k) |u(a_k) \varphi'(a_k)|}{(1 - |\varphi(a_k)|^2)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(a_k)|^2}} + \|D_{\varphi,u}^m\|_e \\
&\geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(a_k) |u(a_k) \varphi'(a_k)|}{(1 - |\varphi(a_k)|^2)^{m+1} \left(\log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(a_k)|^2} \right)^2} + \|D_{\varphi,u}^m\|_e \\
&\geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(a_k) |u'(a_k)|}{(1 - |\varphi(a_k)|^2)^m \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(a_k)|^2}}. \tag{28}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (26) and (28), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_{\varphi,u}^m\|_e &\succeq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(a_k)|u'(a_k)|}{(1 - |\varphi(a_k)|^2)^m \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(a_k)|^2}} \\ &= \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{u(z)|u'(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^m \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

For $s \in (0, 1)$, by (3), we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{j^m \|J_u \varphi^j\|_\mu}{\|z^j\|_{\log}} &\preceq \frac{j^m}{\log(j+1)} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z)|u'(z)||\varphi(z)|^j \\ &\leq \frac{j^m}{\log(j+1)} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| \leq s} \mu(z)|u'(z)||\varphi(z)|^j + \frac{j^m}{\log(j+1)} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > s} \mu(z)|u'(z)||\varphi(z)|^j \\ &\leq L_1 \frac{j^m s^j}{\log(j+1)} + \frac{j^m}{\log(j+1)} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > s} \mu(z)|u'(z)||\varphi(z)|^j. \end{aligned}$$

By lemma 2.5, the above inequalities imply that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{j^m}{\log(j+1)} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > s} \mu(z)|u'(z)||\varphi(z)|^j \\ &= \frac{j^m}{\log(j+1)} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > s} \mu(z)|u'(z)| \frac{|\varphi(z)|^j (1 - |\varphi(z)|)^m \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|}}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^m \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|}} \\ &\leq \frac{j^m}{\log(j+1)} f_j(x_j) \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > s} \frac{\mu(z)|u'(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^m \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by (7) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m \|J_u \varphi^j\|_\mu}{\|z^j\|_{\log}} &\preceq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} L_1 \frac{j^m s^j}{\log(j+1)} \\ &+ \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m}{\log(j+1)} f_j(x_j) \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > s} \frac{\mu(z)|u'(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^m \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|}} \\ &= \frac{m^m}{e^m} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > s} \frac{\mu(z)|u'(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^m \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|}}. \end{aligned}$$

Further we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m \|J_u \varphi^j\|_\mu}{\|z^j\|_{\log}} &\preceq \limsup_{s \rightarrow 1} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > s} \frac{\mu(z)|u'(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^m \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|}} \\ &\leq \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(z)|u'(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^m \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|}} \\ &\preceq \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(z)|u'(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^m \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Combining (29) and (30), it's clear that

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m \|J_u \varphi^j\|_\mu}{\|z^j\|_{\log}} \preceq \|D_{\varphi,u}^m\|_e.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{j^m \|I_u \varphi^{j+1}\|_\mu}{\|z^j\|_{\log}} &\leq \frac{j^m}{\log(j+1)} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (j+1) \mu(z) |\varphi(z)|^j |u(z) \varphi'(z)| \\
&\leq \frac{j^{m+1}}{\log(j+1)} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |\varphi(z)|^j |u(z) \varphi'(z)| \\
&\leq L_2 \frac{j^{m+1}}{\log(j+1)} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| \leq s} |\varphi(z)|^j + \frac{j^{m+1}}{\log(j+1)} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > s} \mu(z) |\varphi(z)|^j |u(z) \varphi'(z)| \\
&\leq L_2 \frac{j^{m+1}}{\log(j+1)} s^j + \frac{j^{m+1}}{\log(j+1)} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > s} \mu(z) |\varphi(z)|^j |u(z) \varphi'(z)|.
\end{aligned}$$

By lemma 2.5, the above inequalities imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{j^{m+1}}{\log(j+1)} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > s} \mu(z) |\varphi(z)|^j |u(z) \varphi'(z)| \\
&= \frac{j^{m+1}}{\log(j+1)} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > s} \mu(z) |u(z) \varphi'(z)| \frac{|\varphi(z)|^j (1 - |\varphi(z)|)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|}}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|}} \\
&\leq \frac{j^{m+1}}{\log(j+1)} x_j^j (1 - x_j)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1 - x_j} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > s} \frac{\mu(z) |u(z) \varphi'(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then by (7), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m \|I_u \varphi^{j+1}\|_\mu}{\|z^j\|_{\log}} &\leq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} L_2 \frac{j^{m+1}}{\log(j+1)} s^j \\
&+ \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^{m+1}}{\log(j+1)} x_j^j (1 - x_j)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1 - x_j} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > s} \frac{\mu(z) |u(z) \varphi'(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|}} \\
&= \frac{(m+1)^{m+1}}{e^{m+1}} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > s} \frac{\mu(z) |u(z) \varphi'(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Further we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m \|I_u \varphi^{j+1}\|_\mu}{\|z^j\|_{\log}} &\leq \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > s} \frac{\mu(z) |u(z) \varphi'(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|}} \\
&\leq \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(z) |u(z) \varphi'(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|}} \\
&\leq \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(z) |u(z) \varphi'(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{m+1} \log \frac{3}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}}. \tag{31}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (27) and (31), it follows that

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m \|I_u \varphi^{j+1}\|_\mu}{\|z^j\|_{\log}} \leq \|D_{\varphi, u}^m\|_e.$$

This completes the proof. \square

The following corollary is a consequence of theorem 4.3 and lemma 2.4.

Corollary 4.4 *Let $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$, $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and μ be a weight on \mathbb{D} . Suppose that the operator $D_{\varphi, u}^m : \mathcal{B}_{\log} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$ is bounded. Then $D_{\varphi, u}^m : \mathcal{B}_{\log} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$ is compact if and only if either of the following statements holds:*

(a)

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m \|J_u \varphi^j\|_\mu}{\|z^j\|_{\log}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m \|I_u \varphi^{j+1}\|_\mu}{\|z^j\|_{\log}} = 0.$$

(b)

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m \|J_u \varphi^j\|_\mu}{\log(j+1)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^m \|I_u \varphi^{j+1}\|_\mu}{\log(j+1)} = 0.$$

References

- [1] J. Bonet, P. Domański, M. Lindström, J. Taskinen, Composition operators between weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions, *J. Aust. Math. Soc. Ser. A* 64 (1998) 101-118.
- [2] R.E. Castillo, D.D. Clahane, J.F. Fariás López and J.C. Ramos-Fernández, Composition operators from logarithmic Bloch spaces to weighted Bloch spaces, *Appl. Math. Comp.* 219 (2013) 6692-6706.
- [3] M.D. Contreras and A.G. Hernandez-diaz, Weighted composition operators on weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A)* 69 (2000) 41-60.
- [4] C.C. Cowen and B.D. MacCluer, *Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995.
- [5] R.E. Castillo, J.C. Ramos-Fernández and E.M. Rojas, A new essential norm estimate of composition operators from weighted Bloch space into μ -Bloch spaces, *J. Funct. Spaces Appl.* 2013, Article ID 817278, 5 pages.
- [6] K. Esmaeili and M. Lindström, Weighted composition operators between Zygmund type spaces and their essential norms, *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory* 75 (2013) 473-490.
- [7] Z.S. Fang and Z.H. Zhou, Essential norms of composition operators between Bloch type spaces in the polydisk, *Arch. Math.* 99 (2012) 547-556.
- [8] O. Hyvärinen and M. Lindström, Estimates of essential norms of weighted composition operators between Bloch-type spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 393 (2012) 38-44.
- [9] O. Hyvärinen, M. Kempainen, M. Lindström, A. Rautio, and E. Saukko, The essential norm of weighted composition operators on weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions, *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory* 72 (2012) 151-157.
- [10] Y.X. Liang and Z.H. Zhou, Essential norm of the product of differentiation and composition operators between Bloch-type spaces, *Arch. Math.* 100 (2013) 347-360.
- [11] Y.X. Liang and Z.H. Zhou, New estimate of the essential norm of composition followed by differentiation between Bloch-type spaces, *Banach J. Math. Anal.* 8 (2014) 118-137.
- [12] W. Lusky, On weighted spaces of harmonic and holomorphic functions, *J. London Math. Soc.* 51 (1995) 309-320.
- [13] B. MacCluer and R. Zhao, Essential norms of weighted composition operators between Bloch-type spaces, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 33 (2003) 1437-1458.
- [14] J.H. Shapiro, *Composition operators and Classical Function Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [15] S. Stević, Weighted differentiation composition operators from H^∞ and Bloch space to n th weighted-type spaces on the unit disk, *Appl. Math. Comp.* 216 (2010) 3634-3641.
- [16] S. Stević, Weighted differentiation composition operators from the mixed-norm space to the n th weighted-type space on the unit disk, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2010, Article ID 246287, 15 pages.
- [17] S. Stević, S. Ueki, On an integral-type operator between weighted-type spaces and Bloch-type spaces on the unit ball, *Appl. Math. Comp.* 217 (2010) 3127-3136.
- [18] A.L. Shields and D.L. Williams, Bounded projection, duality, and multipliers in spaces of analytic functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 162 (1971) 287-302.
- [19] Y. Wu and H. Wulan, Products of differentiation and composition operators on the Bloch space, *Collect. Math.* 63 (2012) 93-107.
- [20] H. Wulan, D. Zheng and K. Zhu, Compact composition operators on *BMOA* and the Bloch space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 137 (2009) 3861-3868.
- [21] R. Zhao, Essential norms of composition operators between Bloch type spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 138 (2010) 2537-2546.
- [22] K. Zhu, *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1990.